

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,710	03/10/2004	Katsuichi Osakabe	2552-000063	4255
	7590 08/28/2007 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.		EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 828	·		GIESY,	ADAM
BLOOMFIELL	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/797,710	OSAKABE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Adam R. Giesy	2627		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply livil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ap</u>	<u>oril 2007</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers		·		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No reived in this National Stage		
Attachmer					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyashita et al. (hereinafter Miyashita US Pat. No. 5,959,747).

Claims 1-13 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the prior Office Action, mailed on 1/9/2007 (see Response to Arguments below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita et al. (hereinafter Miyashita US Pat. No. 5,959,747).

Regarding claim 14, Miyashita discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 as discussed in the claim 1 rejection above. Miyashita does not directly disclose that the apparatus that records the new data is different from the apparatus that recorded the old data.

Examiner hereby takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art of dynamic optical recording to manufacture an optical disc as disclosed by Miyashita in such a way that would allow the same optical disc to be written and rewritten to by multiple recording and re-recording apparatuses. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the optical disc recording method as disclosed by Miyashita, the motivation being to allow multiple users with multiple recording and re-recording apparatuses to record and use the same rewritable optical disc.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/2/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, on pages 7-8 of the Remarks filed on 4/2/2007, that the disclosure by Miyashita only covers test data and does not cover "real data." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner failed to find any limitation in the current claims that specifies that the data that was previously recorded or the data that is being record over the old data is user data as opposed to test data. Examiner asserts that the claims are limited to only "new" and "old" data wherein the new data is written atop the old data and therefore Miyashita does satisfy the currently claimed limitations for the reasons discussed in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,710

Art Unit: 2627

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam R. Giesy whose telephone number is (571) 272-7555. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am- 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne R. Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARG 8/23/2007

WAYNE YOUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER